



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63719

Takayuki KOGANEYA

Appln. No.: 09/817,048

Group Art Unit: 3676

Confirmation No.: 9193

Examiner: Suzanne Lale Dino BARRETT

Filed: March 27, 2001

For: PRODUCT ORDERING SYSTEM AND PRODUCT ORDERING METHOD

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 21, 2006, please consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9-13 are all the claims pending in the application. These claims stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,434,394) in view of Robinson (U.S. Patent No. 5,915,022) and further in view of Morisawa, "Proposal for a processing model for a distributed processing system", 17 May 1996, Vol. 96 No. 41, pages 17-24. New to this Office Action, the Examiner cites Robinson, acknowledging that Roach et al. fail to teach generating a registration address or URL between the sales terminal, order reception system, and shop ordering system, and alleging that Robinson teaches that in a product ordering system, it is well known to generate and transmit URL addresses, and would have been obvious to modify the system of Roach et al. by also generating a URL or